



## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Quality, Excellence, Innovation

**DATE:** June 6, 2016

**TO:** All Holders of Request for Proposals

**FROM:** Pat Echols, Assistant Director/MERA Operations Officer

**RE:** Addendum #3 to Request for Proposal (RFP) dated May 6, 2016  
Marin Emergency Radio Authority  
Radio Communications System

You are hereby advised of the following revisions and/or clarifications for said Request for Proposals:

1. **Question:** Can electronic shapefiles be provided for the coverage service areas indicated in Appendix H?

**Response:** Yes. Shapefiles will be uploaded to the Marin County website as an attachment to the RFP.

2. **Question:** Referencing – 4.1 E New distributed antenna systems (DAS) and/or bi-directional amplifiers (BDA) shall be provided for the Cal-Park Tunnel and SO jail complexes. (Note – Jail is a requirement; all others are local agency options)

In order to accurately quote services, materials, and coverage, will construction drawings be made available for the two BDA installation locations?

In the event that drawings are not available, can the jail complex site walks be re-scheduled and attendee names re-submitted in order to accommodate attendance from BDA subject matter experts?

**Response:** Yes. RESPONDENTS must attend jail complex sitewalks and further must also visit the Fairfax PD site, as this site was not visited by all RESPONDENTS during initial sitewalks. RESPONDENTS must coordinate and schedule with MERA a time to complete all remaining sitewalks. All sitewalks must be completed by 6/24/16.

3. **Question:** Can the original source documents (i.e. Microsoft Word) of the RFP be provided?

**Response:** Yes. The source document will be uploaded to the Marin County website as an attachment to the RFP. In the unlikely event of any discrepancy between the source document and PDF document, the PDF document will prevail.

4. **Question:** In the mandatory pre-bid meeting the county informed the potential bidders that some or all construction portions of the RFP would not be provided by the Selected Vendor. Can the county please clarify which construction portions of the RFP will and will not be provided by the Selected Vendor?

**Response:** Any items dealing with site preparation (e.g. site grading, electrical, foundations, etc.) will NOT be provided by SELECTED VENDOR. SELECTED VENDOR will provide all equipment hardware, buildings, towers, etc.

5. **Question:** In the mandatory pre-bid meeting the county informed the potential bidders that some or all construction portions of the RFP could not be provided by the Selected Vendor. Can the county please clarify how bidders will be evaluated with regards to the construction portion(s) and required pricing of the RFP?

**Response:** MERA/County will use the evaluation criteria listed in Table 1, Section 3.6 of the RFP, which includes site selection and existing site development. The RESPONDENT's estimated site development/construction cost will be considered by MERA with respect to overall project budget forecasting, but will not be included as part of the MERA/County contract with the selected vendor.

6. **Question:** In the mandatory pre-bid meeting the county informed the potential bidders that the Selected Vendor should provide information regarding the sites in their design and the needed upgrades and/or new construction requirements so that the County could use this information to go out to bid for the said upgrades and/or new construction requirements. Could the County elaborate on their expectations for this information provided by the Selected Vendor? For example, What type of work product, at a minimum, would the County need from the Selected Vendor to support their Construction RFP needs?

**Response:** SELECTED VENDOR would need to supply a complete drawings package such that the drawings package could be submitted as part of an overall bid package that the County would advertise.

7. **Question:** Will Respondents and/or Selected Vendor who provide site development/construction work product and pricing as part of their proposals be precluded from bidding on future site construction and facility improvements?

**Response:** No

8. **Question:** Referencing 6.2.4 D-E - D. The SELECTED VENDOR shall be responsible for providing and implementing an over-the-air Fire Station and Siren Alerting System that provides, at least the same level of features and functionality utilized today at 35 fire stations.

E. RESPONDENTS may propose, as an OPTION alternative Fire Station Alerting, Remote Gate Control and Knox Box system(s) they feel may provide a better solution than the system(s) in use today.

Can the county please clarify if Respondents are required to propose a complete new fire station alerting system or to re-use the existing system to the fullest extent possible (i.e. only change-out RF control from UHF T-band to 700MHz)?

If a complete new fire station alerting system is required, can the county please provide floorplans of each fire station and the required number and type of devices to be controlled at each station (i.e. overhead door, stove, lights, etc)?

**Response:** RESPONDENTS are required to duplicate the functionality of the existing system with a complete fire station alerting system. The new system will be required to interface to the existing equipment at each fire station with a dry contact closure with a two-second delay. Additionally, they will need to provide an audio source to power four separate audio zones.

9. **Question:** Referencing 6.2.4B - Knox Boxes are remotely controlled using an analog talkgroup on the MERA trunked network with DTMF signaling.

